IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF NORTH CAROLINA WESTERN DIVISION No. 5:18-HC-2052-D

MCKINLEY FOGG,	•)	
	Petitioner,)	
v.)	ORDER
DONNA SMITH,)	
	Respondent.)	

On June 13, 2019, Magistrate Judge Numbers issued a Memorandum and Recommendation ("M&R") [D.E. 17] and recommended that the court grant respondent's motion for summary judgment [D.E. 10], dismiss petitioner McKinley Fogg's ("Fogg") petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [D.E. 1], and deny as moot Fogg's motion to supplement his petition [D.E. 16]. Fogg did not object to the M&R.

"The Federal Magistrates Act requires a district court to make a de novo determination of those portions of the magistrate judge's report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." <u>Diamond v. Colonial Life & Accident Ins. Co.</u>, 416 F.3d 310, 315 (4th Cir. 2005) (emphasis, alteration, and quotation omitted); <u>see</u> 28 U.S.C. § 636(b). Absent a timely objection, "a district court need not conduct a de novo review, but instead must only satisfy itself that there is no clear error on the face of the record in order to accept the recommendation." <u>Diamond</u>, 416 F.3d at 315 (quotation omitted).

The court has reviewed the M&R and the record. The court is satisfied that there is no clear error on the face of the record. Accordingly, the court adopts the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 17].

In sum, the court ADOPTS the conclusions in the M&R [D.E. 17], GRANTS respondent's motion for summary judgment [D.E. 10], DISMISSES Fogg's petition for a writ of habeas corpus under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 [D.E. 1], and DENIES AS MOOT Fogg's motion to supplement his petition [D.E. 16]. The clerk shall close the case.

SO ORDERED. This <u>s</u> day of August 2019.

JAMES C. DEVER III

United States District Judge